1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 GARMAN GROUP, LLC, et al., 8 Plaintiffs. Case No. 2:11-cv-00184-RLH-PAL 9 **ORDER** VS. UNIVERSITY PIPELINE, INC., et al., 10 (Mot for Recog of Svc - Dkt. #21) 11 Defendants. 12 13 The court conducted a hearing on May 12, 2011, on Plaintiffs' Motion for Recognition of Service on University Pipeline, Inc. and Tom Unger, or in the Alternative, Motion to Enlarge Time for 14 Service (Dkt. #21). Kenneth Hogan was present on behalf of the Plaintiffs. Barney Ales was present 15 on behalf of Defendant Tom Unger. The court reviewed the Motion, Defendant Tom Unger's 16 17 Statement of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Recognition of Service (Dkt. #23), and heard arguments of counsel. Although counsel for the Plaintiffs believes the corporate Defendant has been 18 19 appropriately served he requested a 90 day extension in an abundance of caution. For good cause 20 appearing, 21 **IT IS ORDERED** that: 22 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Enlarge Time for Service (Dkt. #21) is **GRANTED**. 2. Plaintiffs shall have an additional 90 days from the date of the hearing, or until August 23 10, 2011, in which to serve Defendant University Pipeline, Inc. 24 Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011. 25 26 27 United States Magistrate Judge 28